

1. Were you satisfied with the course content, neither satisfied nor dissatisfied with it, or dissatisfied with it?

	Response Percent	Response Count
Extremely satisfied	0.0%	0
Moderately satisfied	18.2%	2
Slightly satisfied	27.3%	3
Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied	0.0%	0
Slightly dissatisfied	18.2%	2
Moderately dissatisfied	18.2%	2
Extremely dissatisfied	18.2%	2
	answered question	11
	skipped question	0

2. Please rate the Professor's success at conveying the material.

					Rating Average	Response Count
Very Successful	100.0% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	1.00	1
Somewhat Successful	100.0% (5)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	1.00	5
Neither successful/unsuccessful	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.00	0
Somewhat Unsuccessful	100.0% (2)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	1.00	2
Very Unsuccessful	100.0% (4)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	1.00	4
				answered	d question	11
				skipped	I question	0

3. How knowledgeable in the course content was your instructor?

	Response Percent	Response Count
Extremely knowledgeable	45.5%	5
Very knowledgeable	36.4%	4
Moderately knowledgeable	18.2%	2
Slightly knowledgeable	0.0%	0
Not at all knowledgeable	0.0%	0
	answered question	11
	skipped question	0

4. How organized was the course content?

	Response Percent	Response Count
Extremely organized	0.0%	0
Very organized	9.1%	1
Moderately organized	36.4%	4
Slightly organized	18.2%	2
Not at all organized	36.4%	4
	answered question	11
	skipped question	0

5. How easy was it to meet with your instructor outside of class?

	Response Percent	Response Count
Extremely easy	9.1%	1
Very easy	36.4%	4
Moderately easy	36.4%	4
Slightly easy	18.2%	2
Not at all easy	0.0%	0
	answered question	11
	skipped question	0

6. How worthwhile was the course material?

	Response Percent	Response Count
Extremely worthwhile	0.0%	0
Very worthwhile	18.2%	2
Moderately worthwhile	36.4%	4
Slightly worthwhile	36.4%	4
Not at all worthwhile	9.1%	1
	answered question	11
	skipped question	0

7. How intense was the Socratic method?			
		ponse rcent	Response Count
Light (students know when they will be called on; little pressure from teacher)		81.8%	9
Moderate (multiple questions, seeks answer from specific student)		18.2%	2
Heavy (students randomly called on; professor might get adversarial)		0.0%	0
Socratic + (do not go into this class even slightly unprepared.)		0.0%	0
	answered qu	estion	11
	skipped qu	estion	0

8. Grades in this class were based on:

Response Percent	Response Count
100.0%	11
9.1%	1
0.0%	0
0.0%	0
0.0%	0
answered question	11
skipped question	0
	Percent 100.0% 9.1% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0%

9. Please enter specific comments.	
	Response Count
	8
answered question	8
skipped question	3

Q9. Please enter specific comments.			
1	You sign up for the days you will be on call, so you will never be taken by surprise. The course material is interesting but can get off on tangents pretty easily.	Mar 5, 2012 1:21 PM	
2	In this class you sign up for days that you are going to be "on call" which basically means that there is no pressure to read on other days (at least for me). I found that I did not really like that, but it is certainly a low intensity course.	Mar 5, 2012 12:40 PM	
3	Worst book, organization, and lecturing I've encountered in law school. The only class I've ever regretted signing up for.	Feb 9, 2012 11:37 AM	
4	This class was horribly organized. Amann skips from topic to topic and then back again. While it is apparent that she knows what she's talking about, she is completely unsuccessful at conveying the material in a classroom setting. Further, the presentation of the material comes with a VERY clear bias. I have never had another class in law school whether the professor so clearly promoted a discernible political platform. Students who attempted to challenge that position were not well received. Unless you also subscribe to those political views, it is unlikely that this will be an enjoyable course for you.	Feb 6, 2012 8:12 PM	
5	Prof. Amann is incredibly knowledgeable and experienced in the international field, and the subject was very interesting in general. However, the manner in which the materials were presented in class was pretty disorganized (this problem was exacerbated by the fact that this was her first time teach Laws of War), and the book was AWFUL in terms of organization. It was extremely difficult to make an outline for this class because the materials and my notes were so jumbled. Even her power points didn't independently make sense some of the time (and she does not release these power points to the class, so you can't take a second look). Class was also supposedly based partly on participation even though it was difficult to participate in that class; even her questions to students sometimes didn't make sense. But the subject matter was really interesting if you're into international relations, etc, and she has some really neat scholarship. She's also really chill; you sign up for days to get called on. She is also extremely nice and willing to help, and I'm sure the more she teaches the class, the better it will get.	Feb 6, 2012 6:18 PM	
6	No attendance takenasks you to sign up for three days during the semester to be "on."	Feb 6, 2012 3:29 PM	
7	I was disappointed by the lack of discussion opportunities for the class, considering the subject. The textbook is poorly organized, and the class organization could be improved as well. The subject was really interesting though, and Professor Amann is very knowledgeable. Her power points and lecture portions were excellent.	Feb 6, 2012 11:03 AM	
8	she was pretty terrible as a classroom instructor; it's almost as if her attendance in class is a chore. would not recommend her!	Feb 5, 2012 11:07 PM	